

## CENELEC prTS 50701 (Railway applications – CyberSecurity)

### **ENISA-ERA Conference: Cybersecurity in Railways**

## 16<sup>th</sup> of March 2021





Railway-specific challenges need to be addressed

- ▲ Attackers have fairly easy physical access
- The train is only one part of an diverse cross-border eco-system
- There are safety-critical and non safety-critical systems in the environment
- Commercially viable methods for operators, manufacturers and vendors are needed
- ▲→ Synchronization points between stakeholders as well as safety and security required



#### Christian Schlehuber, Convenor - Serge Benoliel, Secretary - TC 9X/WG 26

## CENELEC TC 9X/WG 26

#### **WG 26**

- Working Group on "Railway Applications Cybersecurity"
- Covers Signaling, Rolling Stock, Fixed Installation
- ~90 experts (20-30 experts participating to F2F meetings)
- Experts from 13 countries (+ SBS, ERA and ENISA as observer)

#### Goal

- Establish a TS for handling CyberSecurity in an unified way for the whole railway sector
- **Based on already existing Industrial CyberSecurity** security standards (e.g. IEC 62443)

#### Timeline

- ▲ July 2017: Creation of TC9X WG 26 to produce a Technical specification (TS 50701)
- ✓ June 2019: First enguiry on prTS 50701 draft
- Dec 2020: Final version submitted to NC vote
- In European Voting process since 8th of January 2021

#### Next steps

- End of Voting: 2nd of April 2021
- TS expected to be available in Summer 2021







## prTS 50701 overview



#### The TS is an innovative standard that takes inspiration from different sources ...

- IEC 62443 (SL vector, Risk assessment, list of requirements)
- EN 50126 (life-cycle, threat log, cybersecurity case, SecRACs)
- CSM-RA (risk acceptance principles)

#### ... adapted to railway context

- Railway architecture model & zoning example
- Railway notes for Security Requirements
- Cybersecurity Design principles
- Cybersecurity & Safety interface
- Handling Legacy System
- Risk acceptance methods

#### In 3 years the TS shall be re-examined and possibly transformed into an EN

- The success of this upgrade will depend on its capability to intercept the needs of the users
- One key element is the availability of a simple and easy-to-implement process



## prTS 50701 overview - Scope - IT/OT





#### prTS 50701 overview - Scope vs EN50126



EN 50126-1:2017 - Figure 7 — The V-cycle representation



## prTS 50701 overview – Reference Arch.





CENELEC

## prTS 50701 overview – Lifecycle



CENELEC

8

cen

Phase (EN 50126-1)		Synchronization points and deliverables	Cybersecurity activities
1	Concept	<ul> <li>SuC Identification:</li> <li>→ Operational environment incl. existing security-related controls &amp; High-Level zone model (see ch. 4)</li> <li>→ Applicable security standards</li> <li>→ Purpose and scope</li> <li>← Project cybersecurity management plan (incl. cybersecurity context, goals and lifecycle activities</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Review of the degree of security achieved up to now</li> <li>Analysis of the project's security implication and context (incl. generic threats) (see ch. 5.3)</li> <li>Alignment with railway operator / asset Owner and stakeholder's security goals</li> <li>Consideration of security lifecycle aspects (patch management, monitoring etc.) (see ch. 10)</li> </ul>
2	System definition and operational context	<ul> <li>System definition:</li> <li>→ System boundaries</li> <li>→ Initial system architecture, incl. list of functions, interfaces and generic systems</li> <li>→ Logical &amp; physical network plans</li> <li>← Logical &amp; physical network plans review</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Review of the logical and physical network plans</li> <li>* Initial Risk Assessment for the SuÇ (see ch. 6.3)</li> <li>* Partitioning of the SuC into zones and conduits (see ch. 6.4)</li> <li>* Documentation of components, interfaces and characteristics for each zone and conduit (see ch. 6.5)</li> <li>* This activity and the corresponding synchronization point may also be conducted in phase 3.</li> </ul>

## prTS 50701 overview – Lifecycle



		<u>Operational context and</u> <u>criticality:</u> → Essential functions		
		<ul> <li>← Initial risk analysis results</li> <li>← Zones &amp; Conduits</li> </ul>		
3	Risk analysis and evaluation	DRA:	٠	Detailed Risk Assessment (DRA)
		$\rightarrow$ Functional Requirements (linked to essential functions)		(see ch. 7): - Derive technical (e.g. SL-T), physical and organizational
		← Initial Threat Log		countermeasures or assumptions for zones and conduits
		← Potential Updates (Zones & Conduits, network plans)	•	Consider business continuity aspects (incl. incidence response and recovery) for the SuC
4	Specification of system requirements	<u>CRS release:</u> ← System Cybersecurity Requirements Specification incl. security-related application conditions	• •	SuC-specific refinement of normative requirements (see ch. 8) Definition of organizational and physical requirements Definition of security-related application conditions (see ch. 7)



#### Christian Schlehuber, Convenor - Serge Benoliel, Secretary - TC 9X/WG 26 10

#### prTS 50701 overview – Detailed risk assessment

- The process needs to take into account also legacy solutions or standards, this may be handled by reference systems or codes of practice, that need to be integrated with the SL approach.
- The process is carried out by zone/conduit and by threat.
- Finally the requirements for a zone/conduit are consolidated.
- This allows a non-disruptive move to 62443 but also preserves legacy solutions.
  - CSM-RA, Risk Acceptance Principles







## How is a SL assigned?

- SL can be viewed as a qualitative means of risk reduction. It is assumed that each organization has a risk matrix with appropriate acceptance criteria.
- To obtain SL, the zone/conduit is evaluated taking into account all measures that a particular (candidate) SL vector implies.
- ✓ If the risk is acceptable then the SL-T is found. If not, add additional countermeasures (increase SL-T) and try again.



#### prTS 50701 overview – Railway notes for Security Reqs.



Req	SL	Title	Railway notes (informative)	Relevant design principles	Stake- holder	Туре
SR 1.1 RE(1)	2	Unique identification and authentication	-	6 - Authenticate requests 13 - Precautionary principle	Sys Sup	Tech
SR 1.1 RE(2)	3	Multifactor authentication for untrusted networks	The feasible multifactor authentication solutions outside the IT system in railways are generally external and could comprise a badge or a physical recognition of presence for the human user e.g. by a phone call. This could equally apply to regularly planned maintenance activities.	6 - Authenticate requests 12 - Proportionality principle	Sys Sup	Tech
SR 1.1 RE(3)	4	Multifactor authentication for all networks	The feasible multifactor authentication solutions outside the IT system in railways are generally external and could comprise a badge or a physical recognition of presence for the human user e.g. by a phone call. This could equally apply to regularly planned maintenance activities.	6 - Authenticate requests 12 - Proportionality principle	Op Sys	Tech
SR 1.2	2	Identification and authentication of software processes and devices	Note that in the equivalent requirement IEC 62443-2- 1/62443-2-4 USER-07 "sw services are considered instead of "sw processes": USER-07: All software services shall be identified and authenticated prior to their execution. Identification of internal software processes/services and devices are not a common practice in railway applications or railway systems. White list application management supports integrity of	4 - Grant least privilege 6 - Authenticate requests 7 - Control access	Op Sys Sup	Tech Proc



#### prTS 50701 overview – Safety and Security, Cybersecurity Case



- ▲ Usually there exist (a few) high-level security requirements that are safety-related
- In the Cybersecurity Case these are either
  - ▲ shown to be fulfilled, or
  - ▲ fulfilled under assumptions that have to be exported as SecRAC, or
  - partially fulfilled (compensating countermeasures have to be defined)
- Safety Case references the Cybersecurity Report
- Cybersecurity Case can be updated without change of the safety case





## Content of CyberSecurity Case 1/2



Introduction (could be a set of references to other documents)

- System under Consideration definition (incl. Zones and Conduits)
- Threat and risks assessment
  - Assumptions
  - List of threat intelligences sources
  - List of threat Scenarios
  - List of sufficiently mitigated risks (with explanation)

Cybersecurity Requirement Specification (CRS) (could be a set of references to other documents)

- Assumptions
- Cybersecurity needs (including safety-related high level objectives)
- Cybersecurity requirements
- List of open risks (with explanation)

Cybersecurity management (could be a set of references to other documents)

- Cybersecurity policy
- Cybersecurity plan
- Cybersecurity process
- Vulnerability assessment and management

Cybersecurity fulfilment (could be a set of references to other documents)

- Implementation of cybersecurity measures evidences of fulfilment of CRS
- Evidence of application of cybersecurity process
- Verification & validation results
  - Testing of security measures (e.g. V&V, Penetration testing)
  - o Traceability to cybersecurity requirements



## Content of CyberSecurity Case 2/2



- Related cybersecurity cases (from included components or subsystems, if any)

Security-related application conditions (could be a set of references to other documents)

- Installation
- Maintenance
- Operation

#### Conclusion

- Cybersecurity claim
- Residual risks status



#### Next steps



#### Dissemination of the TS 50701

- Capture return on experience to improve the TS and transform it into an European Norm (EN)
- Collaboration with other standardization commitee (IEC AHG 20, ...)

Preparation of submission of a NWIP to complete the TS with additional material (e.g. topics related to cybersecurity during operation and maintenance phases as security monitoring and security incident response)





#### Thank you for your attention!

#### <u>christian.schlehuber@deutschebahn.com</u> <u>serge.benoliel@alstomgroup.com</u>





# Q&A

